GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF CORPORATE AFFAIRS

LOK SABHA UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 3174 ANSWERED ON FRIDAY, THE 07TH AUGUST, 2015 [SHRAVANA 16, 1937 (SAKA)]

NON-COMLIANCE OF COMPANIES ACT

QUESTION

3174. SHRIMATI MEENAKSHI LEKHI:

Will the Minister of CORPORATE AFFAIRS

be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Government has taken cognizance / received complains of alleged irregularities and non-compliance of the companies Act by owners of cricket teams participating in the Indian Premier League;
- (b) if so, the details thereof;
- (c) whether the Government has conducted / proposes to conduct investigation in this regard; and
- (d) if so, the details and the findings thereof along with the present status of these investigations?

ANSWER

THE MINISTER OF CORPORATE AFFAIRS

(SHRI ARUN JAITLEY)

- (a) to (d) Registrar of Companies (ROCs) were directed to examine documents under Section 234 of the Companies Act, 1956 in the year 2010. ROCs examined documents of the companies located within their jurisdictions and certain violations of the provisions of the Companies Act, 1956 were noticed in some cases as per details in annexure.
- ROC, Mumbai has received a complaint dated 16.06.2015 against Indiawin Sports Pvt. Ltd. about not providing certified copies of the Register of Members and Annual Returns on which action is to be taken as per the provisions of Law.

Annexure to Lok Sabha Un-starred Question No.3174 for 07.08.2015 by Smt. Meenakshi Lekhi regarding Non-Compliance of Companies Act.

01	N	\C-1-41	04-4
SI. No.	Name of the owner of the	Violations of the Provisions	Status in respect of scrutiny of documents under Section 234 of
NO.	IPL Team	of Companies	the Companies Act, 1956 ordered in
	IPL I Ealli	-	_ ,
4	Indiawin	Act, 1956.	April, 2010.
1.		23	For non-compliance of Section 23 of
	Sports Pvt. Ltd.		the Companies Act, 1956 relating to
			signing of the franchisee
			agreement in the erstwhile name,
			i.e., Rathipriya Trading Pvt. Ltd. on
			10.04.2008, the company was
_			warned to be careful.
2.	Royal		No contravention of the Companies
	Challengers		Act, 1956 was noticed.
	Sports Pvt. Ltd.		
3.	Deccan		Deccan Chargers IPL Team was
	Chargers		initially owned by Deccan Chargers
	Sporting		Sporting Ventures Ltd., which was
	Ventures Ltd.		a wholly owned subsidiary of
			Deccan Chronicle Holdings Ltd. On
			scrutinising the balance sheet of
			Deccan Chargers Sporting Ventures
			Ltd., no violations of the provisions
			of Companies Act, 1956 were
			noticed.
4.	India Cement	217(2A)	On scrutinising the balance sheet
	Ltd.		of the company, violation of Section
			217(2A) of the Companies Act,
			1956, relating to not furnishing the
			particulars of employees in the
			Director's Report was noticed and
			the company was warned.
			Subsequently, books of account of
			India Cement Limited were
			inspected under Section 209A of
			the Companies Act, 1956. The
			inspection report is under
			examination.
5.	GMR Sports		The report of the ROC is under
	Pvt. Ltd.		examination.
6.	KPH Dream	159, 220	Prosecution was filed for non- filing
	Cricket Pvt.	-	of balance sheet and annual return
	Ltd.		for the year 2008-09 and the
			offences have been compounded

			vide Company Law Board's order dated 11.05.2011.
7.	Knight Riders Sports Pvt. Ltd.	383A and 211	Prosecution was filed for violations of Sections 383A and 211 of the Companies Act, 1956. The said offences were compounded by Company Law Board.
8.	Jaipur IPL Cricket Pvt. Ltd.	297, 301	Prosecutions were filed for violations of Sec. 297 and 301 of the Companies Act, 1956. The company filed compounding applications for the said violations.
9.	Sahara Adventure Sports Ltd.		No violations of the provisions of the Companies Act, 1956 were noticed.
