

Court of Sh. Agay Gupta Aemm Delhi

14755  
R2



कंपनी रजिस्ट्रार स. श. क्षेत्र दिल्ली एवं हरियाणा 2004-2005

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF LIAISON MEMBERS HAZARI DELHI

COMPANY CASE NO.

IN THE MATTER OF :

REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES  
NCT OF DELHI & HARYANA

22/3/10  
11-7-10

212 OF 2005

01/02/05

COMPLAINANT

VS

1. M/S INDO-BRITAIN AGRO FARMS LTD. 1646 JYOTIPURA, HISSAR-125001, HARYANA.

2. SILDVI AGGARWAL

-DO-

3. S/S SATYA PRAKASH SIKARVA

-DO-

4. S/S PAKH CHAND - 265/18, KABIR CHOWK, HISSAR-125001.

5. S/S NIDAN L. MALIK - 224, M.B. 2, HISSAR, HARYANA.

6. S/S ANIL KUMAR - 110-A, HOUSE BOARD, SEC-14, PANDEKULA, HARYANA.


7. S/S KAMAL SAINI - NEAR MAIN WATER WORKS, CHANDU LAL GARDEN, HISSAR, HARYANA.

ACCUSED.

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 167/220 OF THE COMPANIES

ACT 1956

Convicted





**ROC VS. M/S. INDO BRITAIN AGRO FARMS LTD.**  
**CC NO.228-229/3/10**

**COMMON ORDER**

**05.07.11**

Present: Company Prosecutor Ms. Kusum Yadav alongwith AR.  
Accused no. 4 and 7 are already convicted.  
Accused, no. 6 Amulya Kumar with counsel Sh. Vikram Singh Girsu.  
Accused no. 5 with counsel.  
Process Server Ct. Sunil Kumar is present for his statement. His statement is recorded u/s 82 Cr. PC qua the accused 2 Om Aggarwal and 3 Satya Prakash Sharma.

An application for pleading guilty has been filed on behalf of accused no. 5. Ld. Counsel submits that accused no. 5 wish to plead guilty.

Accusation and consequences have been explained to the accused no. 5 despite that he want to plead guilty.

Offence is summary triable. Particulars of offence are explained. Vide summary trial register Entry No.108/05.07.11 Accused no. 5 i.e. Vijay Kr. Malik is convicted for offence u/s 162/220(3) of the Companies Act, 1956 and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs.1000/- each in each case, IDSI for one month. Fine paid.

In view of the above said statement of the Process Server on oath and, in view of the Ex.C1 and C2 and on being satisfied that the proclamation process of accused Om Aggarwal and Satya Prakash were duly executed on their last known address., I am satisfied that the process u/s 82

53





Cr. P.C are duly executed.


Accordingly, I am satisfied that the accused is deliberately absconding and concealing his presence. Both the accused Om Aggarwal and Satya Prakash Sharma are declared proclaimed offender.


Process issued to the accused no. 1 received back unserved.  
Issue fresh process to them for 05.11.11.

(AJAY GUPTA)

ACMM(SPL. ACTS)/CENTRAL/  
DELHI/05.07.11

11.4.11  
11.7.11





CC No.228-229/3/10

ROC VS. INDO BRITAIN AGRO FARMS LTD.

COMMON STATEMENT

Statement of Ct. Sunil Kumar, No. 1004, traffic police.


On SA

I received the process U/s 82 Cr. PC against the accused no.3 Satya Prakash for its execution. On 25.10.09, I affixed the said process copy at the court notice board of Tis Hazari Court Complex and on that very day I went to the address of the accused i.e. 1646, Jyoti Pura, Hissar, Haryana. I enquired about the accused from the neighbour of accused who told me that he has left the said premises. Fresh address of the accused could not be known despite efforts. Therefore, I affixed one copy of the process u/s 82 Cr. PC in front of the said house. I made beating of drums in the premises nearest to the accused address. My report in this regard which is my handwriting duly signed by me is Ex.C2 and my signatures is at point A. My report is correct.

RO & AC

*C. Sunil Kumar ✓ 1004*  
*Traffic Station HISSAR*

  
(AJAY GUPTA)  
ACMM(SPL. ACTS)/  
CENTRAL/ DELHI/05.07.11



*GT*



CC No.228-229/3/10

ROC VS. INDO BRITAIN AGRO FARMS LTD.

COMMON STATEMENT

Statement of Ct. Sunil Kumar, No. 1004, traffic police.

On SA

I received the process U/s 82 Cr. PC against the accused no.2 Om Aggarwal for its execution. On 25.10.09, I affixed the said process copy at the court notice board of Tis Hazari Court Complex and on that very day I went to the address of the accused i.e. 1646, Jyoti Pura, Hissar, Haryana. I enquired about the accused from the neighbour of accused who told me that he has left the said premises. Fresh address of the accused could not be known despite efforts. Therefore, I affixed one copy of the process u/s 82 Cr. PC in front of the said house. I made beating of drums in the premises nearest to the accused address. My report in this regard which is my handwriting duly signed by me is Ex.C1 and my signatures is at point A. My report is correct.

RO & AC

*Ct. Sunil Kumar 1004  
Traffic Shop Hissar*

(AJAY GUPTA)

ACMM(SPL. ACTS)/

CENTRAL/ DELHI/05.07.11

67

कर्मचारी विभाग एवं सेवा न्यायालय	
प्रतिनिधि	
प्रमाणित	
दिनांक	क्रमांक
आवक संख्या	आवक संख्या
पत्र 78 के अन्तर्गत प्रमाणित	

*05/11/11*